

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

George M. Walker, et ux.	)	No. CV 11-0584-PHX-SRB
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Washington Mutual Bank, F.A., et al.	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

On June 30, 2011, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint for Quiet Title. Because the Plaintiffs are acting pro se in this matter, the Court advises the Plaintiffs of the following:

I. RULE 7.2(i) CAUTIONARY NOTICE

LRCiv 7.2(i) states in relevant part: "[I]f the opposing party does not serve and file the required answering memoranda . . . such non-compliance may be deemed a consent to the denial or granting of the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily." *See* D.Ariz. R. 1.10(i); *see also* *Brydges v. Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651, 652 (9th Cir. 1994). Plaintiffs should take notice that failure to respond to the Defendants' Motion by the deadline set forth in this Order will result in the Court deeming the Defendants' Motion as being unopposed and consented to by the Plaintiffs. *See* *Brydges*, 18 F.3d at 652 (affirming the district court's summary granting of a motion for summary judgment under Local Rule 7.2(i) when non-moving party was given express warning of consequences of failing to respond).

